



NEUTRAL CITATION NUMBER: [2026] CIGC (FSD) 44

IN THE GRAND COURT OF THE CAYMAN ISLANDS  
FINANCIAL SERVICES DIVISION

CAUSE NO: FSD 88 OF 2023 (DDJ)

IN THE MATTER OF THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE ARBITRAL AWARD OF THE ICC  
INTERNATIONAL COURT OF ARBITRATION CASE NUMBER 25194/AYZ/ELU

BETWEEN

A

for itself and on behalf of

B

PLAINTIFF

AND

(1) X

(2) Y

(3) Z

DEFENDANTS

**Before:** The Hon. Justice David Doyle

**Heard:** On the papers

**Draft Judgment  
circulated:** 26 May 2026

**Judgment delivered:** 29 May 2026

*260529 In the matter of A & B v X, Y & Z – FSD 88 of 2023 (DDJ) – Judgment (Appeal)*

*Determination of a Summons in respect of an appeal – declaration in respect of appeal as a right and no leave required*

### **JUDGMENT**

1. By judgment delivered on 7 April 2026 (the “Judgment”) I dismissed the application of Y and Z (the “Applicants”) for an extension of time for the reasons stated in the Judgment. By order made on 16 April 2026 the application for the extension of time was formally dismissed.
2. By Summons dated 28 April 2026 (the “Summons”) the Applicants seek a “declaration that the Applicants can appeal the order of the Honourable Mr Justice Doyle dated 16 April 2026 (the Order) as of right and do not require leave of the Court” or in the alternative that “the Applicants be granted leave to appeal to the Court of Appeal against the Order.”
3. The Summons is supported by the Applicants’ skeleton argument dated 8 May 2026.
4. I have also considered the Plaintiff’s written submissions dated 19 May 2026.
5. The Applicants refer to section 6(f)(iv) of the Court of Appeal Act (2023 Revision) whereby it is provided that no appeal shall lie without leave from an interlocutory judgment made or given by a Judge of the Grand Court except in the case of an order made in any arbitration proceedings.
6. I agree with the Applicants that the Order is an interlocutory Order and was made in arbitration proceedings. Thus I am content to make a declaration that the Applicants can appeal the Order as of right and do not require leave of the Court. If I am wrong in that conclusion the Court of Appeal can revisit the position and consider, if leave is required, whether it should be granted.
7. I also make an order that the costs of and occasioned by the Summons be costs in the appeal.

8. The Applicants' attorneys should before 3pm on 2 June 2026 provide to my PA a draft order reflecting the determinations contained in this judgment.

*David Doyle*

---

**THE HON. JUSTICE DAVID DOYLE**  
**JUDGE OF THE GRAND COURT**